

2014 UPDATE TO THE OECD MODEL TAX CONVENTION

Adopted by OECD Council
on 15 July 2014



15 July 2014

THE 2014 UPDATE TO THE OECD MODEL TAX CONVENTION

Introduction

This note includes the contents of the 2014 Update to the OECD Model Tax Convention (the 2014 Update). The 2014 Update was approved by the Committee on Fiscal Affairs on 26 June 2014 and by the OECD Council on 15 July 2014.

The 2014 Update includes the changes to Article 26 (Exchange of Information) and its Commentary that were approved by the OECD Council on 17 July 2012 (see [Changes to Article 26 and its Commentary](#)). It also includes the final version of changes that were previously released for comments through the following discussion drafts:

- [The application of Article 17 \(Artistes and Sportsmen\) of the OECD Model Tax Convention](#) (released on 23 April 2010)
- [Revised proposals concerning the meaning of “beneficial owner”](#) (released on 19 October 2012)
- [Revised discussion draft on tax treaty issues related to emissions permits and credits](#) (released on 19 October 2012)
- [Tax treaty treatment of termination payments](#) (released on 25 June 2013)
- [Technical changes to be included in the next Update to the Model Tax Convention](#) (released on 15 November 2013).

The 2014 Update also includes a number of changes to OECD countries’ reservations and observations and to non-OECD economies’ positions. These observations, reservations and positions identify the areas where the jurisdictions that make them disagree with the contents of the Articles or the Commentary of the OECD Model Tax Convention.

As part of the 2014 Update, the reports on “Tax treaty issues related to emissions permits/credits” and “Issues related to Article 17 of the OECD Model Tax Convention” will be added to the section of the full version of the Model Tax Convention that includes previous reports. Also, Appendix I of the full version, which lists the status of tax conventions between OECD countries, will be replaced as a result of the revision and conclusion of new treaties since 2010.

The following provides additional details on some of the changes made through the 2014 Update.

Issues related to Article 17 of the OECD Model Tax Convention

The Update includes a number of changes to Article 17 and its Commentary. The background for these changes is provided in the attached report on “Issues related to Article 17 of the OECD Model Tax Convention”. That report indicates how the comments received on the April 2010 discussion draft on these issues have been dealt with.

Revised proposals concerning the meaning of “beneficial owner”

On 29 April 2011, the OECD released a public discussion draft entitled “Clarification of the meaning of

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A.	Introduction	6
B.	Articles.....	6
	Article 3	6
	Article 10	7
	Article 11	7
	Article 17	7
	Article 26	7
C.	Commentary	8
	Commentary on Article 3.....	8
	Commentary on Article 4.....	8
	Commentary on Article 5.....	8
	Commentary on Article 6.....	9
	Commentary on Article 7.....	9
	Commentary on Article 8.....	11
	Commentary on Article 9.....	11
	Commentary on Article 10.....	12
	Commentary on Article 11.....	15
	Commentary on Article 12.....	19
	Commentary on Article 13.....	22
	Commentary on Article 15.....	23
	Commentary on Article 16.....	28
	Commentary on Article 17.....	28
	Commentary on Article 18.....	37
	Commentary on Article 19.....	37
	Commentary on Article 20.....	37
	Commentary on Article 21.....	37
	Commentary on Articles 23 A and 23 B.....	38
	Commentary on Article 24.....	38
	Commentary on Article 25.....	39
	Commentary on Article 26.....	39
	Commentary on Article 27.....	56
D.	Positions of non-member economies.....	56
	Positions on Article 1	57
	Positions on Article 2.....	57
	Positions on Article 3.....	57
	Positions on Article 4.....	58
	Positions on Article 5.....	59
	Positions on Article 6.....	63
	Positions on Article 7.....	63

Positions on Article 8.....	65
Positions on Article 9.....	65
Positions on Article 10.....	66
Positions on Article 11.....	67
Positions on Article 12.....	68
Positions on Article 13.....	70
Positions on Article 15.....	70
Positions on Article 16.....	71
Positions on Article 17.....	72
Positions on Article 19.....	73
Positions on Article 20.....	73
Positions on Article 21.....	74
Positions on Article 22.....	74
Positions on Articles 23 A and 23 B.....	74
Positions on Article 24.....	74
Positions on Article 25.....	75
Positions on Article 29.....	76

Article 17

7. Replace Article 17 by the following:

ARTICLE 17

~~ARTISTES AND SPORTSMEN~~ ENTERTAINERS AND SPORTSPERSONS

1. Notwithstanding the provisions of Articles ~~7 and~~ 15, income derived by a resident of a Contracting State as an entertainer, such as a theatre, motion picture, radio or television artiste, or a musician, or as a ~~sportsman~~ **sportsperson**, from *that resident's* ~~his~~ personal activities as such exercised in the other Contracting State, may be taxed in that other State.
2. Where income in respect of personal activities exercised by an entertainer or a ~~sportsman~~ **sportsperson acting as such** ~~in his capacity as such~~ accrues not to the entertainer or ~~sportsman~~ **sportsperson himself** but to another person, that income may, notwithstanding the provisions of Articles ~~7 and~~ 15, be taxed in the Contracting State in which the activities of the entertainer or ~~sportsman~~ **sportsperson** are exercised.

Commentary on Article 17

64. Replace the title and paragraphs 1 to 14 of the Commentary on Article 17 by the following:

COMMENTARY ON ARTICLE 17 CONCERNING THE TAXATION OF ~~ARTISTES AND SPORTSMEN~~ ENTERTAINERS AND SPORTSPERSONS

Paragraph 1

1. Paragraph 1 provides that ~~entertainers~~ ~~artistes~~ and ~~sportsmen~~ *sportspersons* who are residents of a Contracting State may be taxed in the other Contracting State in which their personal activities as such are performed, whether these are of a business or employment nature. This provision is an exception to the rules in Article 7 (*over which it prevails by virtue of paragraph 4 of that Article*) and to that in paragraph 2 of Article 15, respectively.

2. This provision makes it possible to avoid the practical difficulties which often arise in taxing ~~entertainers~~ ~~artistes~~ and ~~sportsmen~~ *sportspersons* performing abroad. Moreover, too strict provisions might in certain cases impede cultural exchanges. In order to overcome this disadvantage, the States concerned may, by common agreement, limit the application of paragraph 1 to business activities. To achieve this it would be sufficient to amend the text of the Article so that an exception is made only to the provisions of Article 7—*replace the words “notwithstanding the provisions of Article 15” by “subject to the provisions of Article 15” in paragraphs 1 and 2*. In such a case, ~~entertainers~~ ~~artistes~~ and ~~sportsmen~~ *sportspersons* performing in the course of an employment would automatically come within Article 15 and thus be entitled to the exemptions provided for in paragraph 2 of that Article.

3. Paragraph 1 refers to ~~entertainers~~ ~~artistes~~ and ~~sportsmen~~ *sportspersons*. It is not possible to give a precise definition of “~~entertainer~~ ~~artiste~~”, but paragraph 1 includes examples of persons who would be regarded as such. These examples should not be considered as exhaustive. On the one hand, the term “~~entertainer~~ ~~artiste~~” clearly includes the stage performer, film actor, *or* actor (including for instance a former ~~sportsman~~ *sportsperson*) in a television commercial. The Article may also apply to income received from activities which involve a political, social, religious or charitable nature, if an entertainment character is present. On the other hand, it does not extend to a visiting conference speaker (*e.g. a former politician who receives a fee for a speaking engagement*), to a model performing as such (*e.g. a model presenting clothes during a fashion show or photo session*) rather than as an entertainer or to administrative or support staff (*e.g. cameramen for a film, producers, film directors, choreographers, technical staff, road crew for a pop group, etc.*). In between there is a grey area where it is necessary to review the overall balance of the activities of the person concerned.

4. An individual may both direct a show and act in it, or may direct and produce a television programme or film and take a role in it. In such cases it is necessary to look at what the individual actually does in the State where the performance takes place. If his activities in that State are

predominantly of a performing nature, the Article will apply to all the resulting income he derives in that State. If, however, the performing element is a negligible part of what he does in that State, the whole of the income will fall outside the Article. In other cases an apportionment should be necessary.

5. Whilst no precise definition is given of the term “~~sportsmen~~ **sportspersons**” it is not restricted to participants in traditional athletic events (*e.g.* runners, jumpers, swimmers). It also covers, for example, golfers, jockeys, footballers, cricketers and tennis players, as well as racing drivers.

6. The Article also applies to income from other activities which are usually regarded as of an entertainment character, such as those deriving from billiards and snooker, chess and bridge tournaments.

7. Income received by impresarios, etc. for arranging the appearance of an ~~entertainer~~ **artiste** or ~~sportsman~~ **sportsperson** is outside the scope of the Article, but any income they receive on behalf of the ~~entertainer~~ **artiste** or ~~sportsman~~ **sportsperson** is of course covered by it.

8. Paragraph 1 applies to income derived directly and indirectly **from a performance** by an individual ~~entertainer~~ **artiste** or ~~sportsman~~ **sportsperson**. In some cases the income will not be paid ~~directly~~ to the individual, or his impresario or agent, **directly with respect to a specific performance**. For instance, a member of an orchestra may be paid a salary rather than receive payment for each separate performance: a Contracting State where a performance takes place is entitled, under paragraph 1, to tax the proportion of the musician’s salary which corresponds to such a performance. Similarly, where an ~~entertainer~~ **artiste** or ~~sportsman~~ **sportsperson** is employed by *e.g.* a one person company, the State where the performance takes place may tax an appropriate proportion of any remuneration paid to the individual. In addition, where a State’s domestic laws “look through” such entities and treat the income as accruing directly to the individual, paragraph 1 enables that State to tax income derived from ~~performances~~ **appearances** in its territory and accruing in the entity for the individual's benefit, even if the income is not actually paid as remuneration to the individual.

8.1 The paragraph applies regardless of who pays the income. For example, it covers prizes and awards paid by a national federation, association or league which a team or an individual may receive in relation to a particular sports event.

9. Besides fees for their actual ~~performances~~ **appearances**, ~~entertainers~~ **artistes** and ~~sportsmen~~ **sportspersons** often receive income in the form of royalties or of sponsorship or advertising fees. In general, other Articles would apply whenever there ~~was~~ **is** no ~~direct link~~ **close connection** between the income and ~~the performance of activities~~ **a public exhibition** by the ~~performer~~ in the country concerned. **Such a close connection will generally be found to exist where it cannot reasonably be considered that the income would have been derived in the absence of the performance of these activities. This connection may be related to the timing of the income-generating event (e.g. a payment received by a professional golfer for an interview given during a tournament in which she participates) or to the nature of the consideration for the payment of the income (e.g. a payment made to a star tennis player for the use of his picture on posters advertising a tournament in which he will participate).** Royalties for intellectual property rights will normally be covered by Article 12 rather than Article 17 (see paragraph 18 of the Commentary on Article 12), but in general advertising and sponsorship fees will fall outside the scope of Article 12. Article 17 will apply to advertising or sponsorship income, etc. which ~~is related directly or indirectly to~~ **has a close connection with a performance or appearance** in a given State (*e.g.* **payments made to a tennis player for wearing a sponsor’s logo, trade mark or trade name on his**

tennis shirt during a match). Such a close connection may be evident from contractual arrangements which relate to participation in named events or a number of unspecified events; in the latter case, a Contracting State in which one or more of these events take place may tax a proportion of the relevant advertising or sponsorship income (as it would do, for example, in the case of remuneration covering a number of unspecified performances; see paragraphs 9.2 and 9.3). Similar income which could not be attributed to such performances or appearances would fall under the standard rules of Article 7 or Article 15, as appropriate. Payments received in the event of the cancellation of a performance are also outside the scope of Article 17, and fall under Articles 7 or 15, as the case may be. Various payments may be made as regards merchandising; whilst the payment to an entertainer or sportsperson of a share of the merchandising income closely connected with a public performance but not constituting royalties would normally fall under Article 17, merchandising payments derived from sales in a country that are not closely connected with performances in that country and that do not constitute royalties would normally be covered by Article 7 (or Article 15, in the case of an employee receiving such income).

9.1 Apart from the above examples, there are a number of cases where it may be difficult to determine whether a particular item of income is derived by a person as an entertainer or sportsperson from that person's personal activities as such. The following principles may be useful to deal with such cases:

- The reference to an “entertainer or sportsperson” includes anyone who acts as such, even for a single event. Thus, Article 17 can apply to an amateur who wins a monetary sports prize or a person who is not an actor but who gets a fee for a once-in-a-lifetime appearance in a television commercial or movie.*
- As noted in the previous paragraphs, the activities of an entertainer or sportsperson do not include only the appearance in an entertainment or sports event in a given State but also, for example, advertising or interviews in that State that are closely connected with such an appearance.*
- Merely reporting or commenting on an entertainment or sports event in which the reporter does not himself participate is not an activity of an entertainer or sportsperson acting as such. Thus, for instance, the fee that a former or injured sportsperson would earn for offering comments during the broadcast of a sports event in which that person does not participate would not be covered by Article 17.*
- Preparation, such as rehearsal and training, is part of the normal activities of entertainers and sportspersons. If an entertainer or sportsperson is remunerated for time spent on rehearsal, training or similar preparation in a State (which would be fairly common for employed entertainers and sportspersons but could also happen for a self-employed individual, such as an opera singer whose contract would require participation in a certain number of rehearsals), the relevant remuneration, as well as remuneration for time spent travelling in that State for the purposes of performances, rehearsal and training (or similar preparation), would be covered by the Article. This would apply regardless of whether or not such rehearsal, training or similar preparation is related to specific public performances taking place in that State (e.g. remuneration that would be paid with respect to the participation in a pre-season training camp would be covered).*

9.2 Entertainers and sportspersons often perform their activities in different States making it necessary to determine which part of their income is derived from activities exercised in each State. Whilst such determination must be based on the facts and circumstances of each case, the following general principles will be relevant for that purpose:

- *An element of income that is closely connected with specific activities exercised by the entertainer or sportsperson in a State (e.g. a prize paid to the winner of a sports competition taking place in that State; a daily allowance paid with respect to participation in a tournament or training stage taking place in that State; a payment made to a musician for a concert given in a State) will be considered to be derived from the activities exercised in that State.*
- *As indicated in paragraph 1 of the Commentary on Article 15, employment is exercised where the employee is physically present when performing the activities for which the employment remuneration is paid. Where the remuneration received by an entertainer or sportsperson employed by a team, troupe or orchestra covers various activities to be performed during a period of time (e.g. an annual salary covering various activities such as training or rehearsing; travelling with the team, troupe or orchestra; participating in a match or public performance, etc.), it will therefore be appropriate, absent any indication that the remuneration or part thereof should be allocated differently, to allocate that salary or remuneration on the basis of the working days spent in each State in which the entertainer or sportsperson has been required, under his or her employment contract, to perform these activities.*

9.3 *The following examples illustrate these principles:*

- *Example 1: A self-employed singer is paid a fixed amount for a number of concerts to be performed in different states plus 5 per cent of the ticket sales for each concert. In that case, it would be appropriate to allocate the fixed amount on the basis of the number of concerts performed in each State but to allocate the payments based on ticket sales on the basis of where the concerts that generated each such payment took place.*
- *Example 2: A cyclist is employed by a team. Under his employment contract, he is required to travel with the team, appear in some public press conferences organised by the team and participate in training activities and races that take place in different countries. He is paid a fixed annual salary plus bonuses based on his results in particular races. In that case, it would be reasonable to allocate the salary on the basis of the number of working days during which he is present in each State where his employment-related activities (e.g. travel, training, races, public appearances) are performed and to allocate the bonuses to where the relevant races took place.*

9.4 *Payments for the simultaneous broadcasting of a performance by an entertainer or sportsperson made directly to the performer or for his or her benefit (e.g. a payment made to the star-company of the performer) fall within the scope of Article 17 (see paragraph 18 of the Commentary on Article 12, which also deals with payments for the subsequent sales or public playing of recordings of the performance). Where, however, the payment is made to a third party (e.g. the owner of the broadcasting rights) and that payment does not benefit the performer, the payment is not related to the personal activities of the performer and therefore does not constitute income derived by a person as an entertainer or sportsperson from that person's personal activities as such. For example, where the organiser of a football tournament holds all intellectual property rights in the event and, as such, receives payments for broadcasting rights related to the event, Article 17 does not apply to these payments; similarly, Article 17 will not apply to any share of these payments that will be distributed to the participating teams and will not be re-distributed to the players and that is not otherwise paid for the benefit of the players. Whether such payments will constitute royalties covered by Article 12 will depend, among other things, on the legal nature of such broadcasting rights, in particular under the relevant copyright law.*

9.5 *It is frequent for entertainers and sportspersons to derive, directly or indirectly (e.g. through a payment made to the star-company of the entertainer or sportsperson), a substantial part of their income in the form of payments for the use of, or the right to use, their “image rights”, e.g. the use of their name, signature or personal image. Where such uses of the entertainer’s or sportsperson’s image rights are not closely connected with the entertainer’s or sportsperson’s performance in a given State, the relevant payments would generally not be covered by Article 17 (see paragraph 9 above). There are cases, however, where payments made to an entertainer or sportsperson who is a resident of a Contracting State, or to another person, for the use of, or right to use, that entertainer’s or sportsperson’s image rights constitute in substance remuneration for activities of the entertainer or sportsperson that are covered by Article 17 and that take place in the other Contracting State. In such cases, the provisions of paragraph 1 or 2, depending on the circumstances, will be applicable.*

10. The Article says nothing about how the income in question is to be computed. It is for a Contracting State’s domestic law to determine the extent of any deductions for expenses. Domestic laws differ in this area, and some provide for taxation at source, at a low rate based on the gross amount paid to ~~entertainers~~ ~~artists~~ and ~~sportsmen~~ **sportspersons**. Such rules may also apply to income paid to groups or incorporated teams, troupes, etc. Some States, however, may consider that the taxation of the gross amount may be inappropriate in some circumstances even if the applicable rate is low. These States may want to give the option to the taxpayer to be taxed on a net basis. This could be done through the inclusion of a paragraph drafted along the following lines:

Where a resident of a Contracting State derives income referred to in paragraph 1 or 2 and such income is taxable in the other Contracting State on a gross basis, that person may, within [period to be determined by the Contracting States] request the other State in writing that the income be taxable on a net basis in that other State. Such request shall be allowed by that other State. In determining the taxable income of such resident in the other State, there shall be allowed as deductions those expenses deductible under the domestic laws of the other State which are incurred for the purposes of the activities exercised in the other State and which are available to a resident of the other State exercising the same or similar activities under the same or similar conditions.

10.1 *Some States may also consider that it would be inappropriate to apply Article 17 to a non-resident entertainer or sportsperson who would not otherwise be taxable in a Contracting State (e.g. under the provisions of Article 7 or 15) and who, during a given taxation year, derives only low amounts of income from activities performed in that State. States wishing to exclude such situations from the application of Article 17 may do so by using an alternative version of paragraph 1 drafted along the following lines:*

Notwithstanding the provisions of Article 15, income derived by a resident of a Contracting State as an entertainer, such as a theatre, motion picture, radio, or television artiste, or a musician, or as a sportsperson, from his personal activities as such exercised in the other Contracting State, may be taxed in that other State, except where the gross amount of such income derived by that resident from these activities exercised during a taxation year of the other Contracting State does not exceed an amount equivalent to [15 000 IMF Special Drawing Rights] expressed in the currency of that other State at the beginning of that taxation year or any other amount agreed to by the competent authorities before, and with respect to, that taxation year.

10.2 *The amount referred to in the above provision is purely illustrative. The reference to “IMF Special Drawing Rights” avoids the reference to the currency of one of the two Contracting States and is intended to provide an amount that remains relatively constant in value regardless of*

currency fluctuations in each State (the IMF Special Drawing Rights (SDRs) are based on a basket of currencies revised periodically and are easily expressed in most convertible currencies). Also, for ease of administration, the proposed provision provides that the limit applicable in a State for a given taxation year is the amount converted in the currency of that State at the beginning of that year. The proposed provision also allows competent authorities to modify the amount when they consider it appropriate; instead of adopting a static amount, however, some States may prefer to adopt an objective mechanism that would allow periodic changes (this could be done, for example, by replacing the amount by a formula such as “50 per cent of the average GDP per capita for OECD countries, as determined by the OECD”).

10.3 *The proposed provision would not prevent Contracting States from collecting tax at the time the relevant income is earned and refunding it after the end of the year once it is established that the minimum amount has not been exceeded.*

10.4 *The proposed provision only applies with respect to paragraph 1 (applying the rule with respect to other persons covered by paragraph 2 could encourage a fragmentation of contracts among many related entities in order to multiply the benefit of the exception). Also, the provision only restricts the additional taxing right recognised by Article 17 and does not affect the source taxing rights otherwise available under Articles 7 and 15. It would therefore not prevent taxation to the extent that the entertainer has a permanent establishment in the State of source or is present in that State for more than 183 days (or is employed by an employer who is a resident of that State or has permanent establishment in that State).*

Paragraph 2

11. Paragraph 1 of the Article deals with income derived by individual ~~entertainers~~^{artists} and ~~sportsmen~~^{sportspersons} from their personal activities. Paragraph 2 deals with situations where income from their activities accrues to other persons. If the income of an entertainer or ~~sportsman~~^{sportsperson} accrues to another person, and the State of source does not have the statutory right to look through the person receiving the income to tax it as income of the performer, paragraph 2 provides that the portion of the income which cannot be taxed in the hands of the performer may be taxed in the hands of the person receiving the remuneration. If the person receiving the income carries on business activities, tax may be applied by the source country even if the income is not attributable to a permanent establishment there. But it will not always be so. There are three main situations of this kind:

- a) The first is the management company which receives income for the appearance of e.g. a group of ~~sportsmen~~^{sportspersons} (which is not itself constituted as a legal entity).
- b) The second is the team, troupe, orchestra, etc. which is constituted as a legal entity. Income for performances may be paid to the entity. Individual members of the team, orchestra, etc. will be liable to tax under paragraph 1, in the State in which **they perform their activities as entertainers or sportspersons** ~~a performance is given~~, on any remuneration (or income accruing for their benefit) ~~as a counterpart to derived from~~ **the performance of these activities (see, however, paragraph 14.1 below)**; ~~however, if the members are paid a fixed periodic remuneration and it would be difficult to allocate a portion of that income to particular performances, Member countries may decide, unilaterally or bilaterally, not to tax it. The profit element accruing from a performance to the legal entity would be liable to tax under paragraph 2.~~
- c) The third situation involves certain tax avoidance devices in cases where remuneration for the performance of an ~~entertainer~~^{artiste} or ~~sportsman~~^{sportsperson} is not paid to the ~~entertainer~~^{artiste} or ~~sportsman~~^{sportsperson} himself but to another person, e.g. a so-called

~~artiste~~ *star*-company, in such a way that the income is taxed in the State where the activity is performed neither as personal service income to the ~~entertainer~~*artiste* or ~~sportsman~~*sportsperson* nor as profits of the enterprise, in the absence of a permanent establishment. Some countries “look through” such arrangements under their domestic law and deem the income to be derived by the ~~entertainer~~*artiste* or ~~sportsman~~*sportsperson*; where this is so, paragraph 1 enables them to tax income resulting from activities in their territory. Other countries cannot do this. Where a performance takes place in such a country, paragraph 2 permits it to impose a tax on the profits diverted from the income of the ~~entertainer~~*artiste* or ~~sportsman~~*sportsperson* to the enterprise. It may be, however, that the domestic laws of some States do not enable them to apply such a provision. Such States are free to agree to other solutions or to leave paragraph 2 out of their bilateral conventions.

11.1 The application of paragraph 2 is not restricted to situations where both the entertainer or ~~sportsman~~*sportsperson* and the other person to whom the income accrues, e.g. a star-company, are residents of the same Contracting State. The paragraph allows the State in which the activities of an entertainer or ~~sportsman~~*sportsperson* are exercised to tax the income derived from these activities and accruing to another person regardless of other provisions of the Convention that may otherwise be applicable. Thus, notwithstanding the provisions of Article 7, the paragraph allows that State to tax the income derived by a star-company resident of the other Contracting State even where the entertainer or ~~sportsman~~*sportsperson* is not a resident of that other State. Conversely, where the income of an entertainer resident in one of the Contracting States accrues to a person, e.g. a star-company, who is a resident of a third State with which the State of source does not have a tax convention, nothing will prevent the Contracting State from taxing that person in accordance with its domestic laws.

11.2 Paragraph 2 does not apply, however, to prize money that the owner of a horse or the team to which a race car belongs derives from the results of the horse or car during a race or during races taking place during a certain period. In such a case, the prize money is not paid in consideration for the personal activities of the jockey or race car driver but in consideration for the activities related to the ownership and training of the horse or the design, construction, ownership and maintenance of the car. Such prize money is not derived from the personal activities of the jockey or race car driver and is not covered by Article 17. Clearly, however, if the owner or team receives a payment in consideration for the personal activities of the jockey or race car driver, that income may be taxed in the hands of the jockey or race car driver under paragraph 1 (see paragraph 7 above).

11.3~~2~~ As a general rule it should be noted, however, that, regardless of Article 17, the Convention would not prevent the application of general anti-avoidance rules of the domestic law of the State of source which would allow that State to tax either the entertainer/~~sportsman~~*sportsperson* or the star-company in abusive cases, as is recognised in paragraphs ~~22 to 22.1~~ ~~24~~ of the Commentary on Article 1.

11.4 Paragraph 2 covers income that may be considered to be derived in respect of the personal activities of an entertainer or sportsperson. Whilst that covers income that is received by an enterprise that is paid for performing such activities (such as a sports team or orchestra), it clearly does not cover the income of all enterprises that are involved in the production of entertainment or sports events. For example, the income derived by the independent promoter of a concert from the sale of tickets and allocation of advertising space is not covered by paragraph 2.

11.5 Whilst the Article does not provide how the income covered by paragraphs 1 and 2 is to be computed and leaves it to the domestic law of a Contracting State to determine the extent of any

deductions (see paragraph 10 above), the income derived in respect of the personal activities of a sportsman or entertainer should not be taxed twice through the application of these two paragraphs. This will be an important consideration where, for example, paragraph 2 allows a Contracting State to tax the star-company of an entertainer on a payment received by that company with respect to activities performed by the entertainer in that State and paragraph 1 also allows that State to tax the part of the remuneration paid by that company to the entertainer that can reasonably be attributed to these activities. In that case, the Contracting State may, depending on its domestic law, either tax only the company or the entertainer on the whole income attributable to these activities or tax each of them on part of the income, e.g. by taxing the income received by the company but allowing a deduction for the relevant part of the remuneration paid to the entertainer and taxing that part in the hands of the entertainer.

Additional considerations relating to paragraphs 1 and 2

12. Where, in the cases dealt with in paragraphs 1 and 2, the exemption method for relieving double taxation is used by the State of residence of the person receiving the income, that State would be precluded from taxing such income even if the State where the activities were performed could not make use of its right to tax. It is therefore understood that the credit method should be used in such cases. The same result could be achieved by stipulating a subsidiary right to tax for the State of residence of the person receiving the income, if the State where the activities are performed cannot make use of the right conferred on it by paragraphs 1 and 2. Contracting States are free to choose any of these methods in order to ensure that the income does not escape taxation.

13. Article 17 will ordinarily apply when the ~~entertainer~~~~artiste~~ or ~~sportsman~~~~sportsperson~~ is employed by a Government and derives income from that Government; see paragraph 6 of the Commentary on Article 19. Certain conventions contain provisions excluding ~~entertainers~~~~artistes~~ and ~~sportsmen~~~~sportspersons~~ employed in organisations which are subsidised out of public funds from the application of Article 17.

14. Some countries may consider it appropriate to exclude from the scope of the Article events supported from public funds. Such countries are free to include a provision to achieve this but the exemptions should be based on clearly definable and objective criteria to ensure that they are given only where intended. Such a provision might read as follows:

The provisions of paragraphs 1 and 2 shall not apply to income derived from activities performed in a Contracting State by ~~entertainers~~~~artistes~~ or ~~sportsmen~~~~sportspersons~~ if the visit to that State is wholly or mainly supported by public funds of one or both of the Contracting States or political subdivisions or local authorities thereof. In such a case, the income is taxable only in the Contracting State in which the ~~entertainer~~~~artiste~~ or the ~~sportsman~~~~sportsperson~~ is a resident.

14.1 Also, given the administrative difficulties involved in allocating to specific activities taking place in a State the overall employment remuneration of individual members of a foreign team, troupe or orchestra, and in taxing the relevant part of that remuneration, some States may consider it appropriate not to tax such remuneration. Whilst a State could unilaterally decide to exempt such remuneration, such a unilateral solution would not be reciprocal and would give rise to the problem described in paragraph 12 above where the exemption method is used by the State of residence of the person deriving such income. These States may therefore consider it appropriate to exclude such remuneration from the scope of the Article. Whilst paragraph 2 above indicates that one solution would be to amend the text of the Article so that it does not apply with respect to income from employment, some States may prefer a narrower exception dealing with

cases that they frequently encounter in practice. The following is an example of a provision applicable to members of a sports team that could be used for that purpose:

The provisions of Article 17 shall not apply to income derived by a resident of a Contracting State in respect of personal activities of an individual exercised in the other Contracting State as a sportsperson member of a team of the first-mentioned State that takes part in a match organised in the other State by a league to which that team belongs.

65. Replace paragraph 15 of the Commentary on Article 17 by the following:

15. With respect to the examples given in paragraph 3, Turkey considers that the activity of a model performing as such (e.g. a model presenting clothes during a fashion show or photo session) falls within the scope of this Article regarding the performance and appearance nature of this activity. Concerning paragraphs 8 and 9, Germany, considering paragraph 18 of the Commentary on Article 12, takes the view that payments made as remuneration for live broadcasting rights of an event are income of the performing or appearing sportspersons or artistes under paragraph 1 of Article 17. This income may be taxed in accordance with paragraph 2 of Article 17 in the case of payments made to any other third party in the context of an economic exploitation of the live broadcasting rights.

66. Add the following new paragraph 15.2 to the Commentary on Article 17:

15.2 Switzerland does not share the view expressed in paragraph 9 of the Commentary which provides that Article 17 will apply to advertising or sponsorship income, which has a close connection with a performance in a given State. Switzerland considers that advertising or sponsorship income falls under the standard rules of Article 7 or Article 15, as appropriate, even if such income has a close connection with a performance in a given State. Additionally, Switzerland takes the view that merchandising income and income in the form of payments for the use of, or the right to use, image rights (paragraph 9.5 of the Commentary) are not covered by Article 17.

67. Add the following new paragraph 17 to the Commentary on Article 17:

17. Germany reserves its right to insert a provision according to which income derived by a person for the transfer of live broadcasting rights or other commercial exploitations of personal activities of entertainers or sportspersons may be taxed in the State where the entertainer or sportsperson exercises the personal activities.

68. Add the following new paragraph 18 to the Commentary on Article 17:

18. According to France's doctrine and treaty practice, income that a sportsperson or entertainer derives from the use of that person's image is inseparable from that person's professional activities and must therefore be taxed in the State in which such income arises. France therefore reserves the right to include in its bilateral conventions an additional paragraph allowing the source taxation of income from activities that cannot be disassociated from professional notoriety.

Positions on Article 17

200. Replace paragraph 2 of the Positions on Article 17 by the following:

2. India reserves the right to include performing as well as non-performing artists in the scope of the term “entertainers”. ~~India and Thailand reserve the right to exclude from the application of paragraphs 1 and 2 the income from activities performed in a Contracting State by entertainers or sportspersons if the activities are substantially supported by public funds and to provide for residence based taxation of such income.~~

201. Add the following new heading and paragraph 4 to the Positions on Article 17:

Positions on the Commentary

4. Argentina, Brazil and Malaysia do not agree with the interpretation in paragraph 3, according to which Article 17 should not be extended to a model performing as such and presenting clothes during a fashion show. They consider that, under some circumstances, a fashion show may be regarded as of an entertainment nature. Thus, the income derived by a model from the participation in such a fashion show may be included in Article 17 (as opposed to the income derived by a model from other activities, i.e. the photo session, where the activity performed is clearly not of an entertainment nature).

202. Add the following new paragraph 5 to the Positions on Article 17:

5. Brazil, Malaysia and the People’s Republic of China do not adhere to the interpretation set out in paragraph 3, because they take the view that visiting conference speakers, including especially former politicians, could be covered by Article 17 if an entertainment character is present in their speeches.

203. Add the following new paragraph 6 to the Positions on Article 17:

6. Argentina does not share the interpretation in paragraph 9.1 because it considers that, in some cases, the fee that a former or injured sportsperson would earn for offering comments during a broadcast of a sports event in which that person does not participate, may be received for personal activities of that person of an entertainment nature. In such cases, he/she is offered the job (and the relevant income) because of his/her fame as a sportsperson and not for his/her reputation as a commentator, and therefore such income is covered by Article 17.

204. Add the following new paragraph 7 to the Positions on Article 17:

7. India does not agree with the interpretation in paragraph 3, according to which Article 17 should not be extended to a model performing as such and presenting clothes during a fashion show. India considers that, under some circumstances, a fashion show may be regarded as of an entertainment nature and accordingly covered by Article 17.

205. Add the following new paragraph 8 to the Positions on Article 17:

8. India does not agree with the interpretation given in paragraph 9 restricting the scope of Article 17 only to personal activities that have a close connection with performance. India considers that any consideration received by an entertainer or a sportsperson for any personal activity, including appearance is covered by Article 17.

206. Add the following new paragraph 9 to the Positions on Article 17:

9. India does not agree with the third example in paragraph 9.1, related to reporting or commenting activities during the broadcasting of an entertainment or sports event, as it considers that such activities are covered by Article 17.

207. Add the following new paragraph 10 to the Positions on Article 17:

10. Brazil and India do not adhere to the interpretation set out in paragraph 11.2. They take the view that prize money in such races is paid in consideration for personal activities of the jockey and race car driver and is covered by Article 17.

208. Add the following new paragraph 11 to the Positions on Article 17:

11. With respect to paragraph 14 of the Commentary, India considers that the phrase "personal activities as such" in paragraph 1 of article 17 would not include activities financed by public funds and that the alternative provision included in paragraph 14 simply confirms that view.